PHG Needs Assessment Calculator Cape Verde Congenital Rubella Syndrome

Welcome to the PHG Health Needs Assessment Calculator for Congenital Rubella Syndrome. The contents of this file are listed by

Full name of the sheet	Short name
Country demographic, maternal health and socioeconomic indicators	Demography
Country health service data	HealthServices
RUB Epidemiology 1.1: Country epidemiology	RUB-E1.1
RUB Epidemiology 1.2: International comparison	RUB-E1.2
RUB Epidemiology 1.3: Epidemiology of rubella	RUB-E1.2
RUB Epidemiology 2.1: Data on affected pregnancies: Research studies	RUB-E2.1
RUB Epidemiology 2.2: Data on affected pregnancies: Surveillance	RUB-E2.2
RUB Epidemiology 2.3: Data on affected pregnancies: Other sources	RUB-E2.3
RUB Epidemiology 2.4: Summary of affected pregnancies	RUB-E2.4
RUB Epidemiology 2.5: Sub-population variation in affected pregnancies	RUB-E2.5
RUB Epidemiology 3.1: Mortality data: Research studies	RUB-E3.1
RUB Epidemiology 3.2: Mortality data: Vital registration data	RUB-E3.2
RUB Epidemiology 3.3: Mortality data: Other sources	RUB-E3.3
RUB Epidemiology 3.4: Summary mortality estimates	RUB-E3.4
RUB Epidemiology 3.5: Sub-population variation in mortality	RUB-E3.5
RUB Epidemiology 4.1: Population prevalence: Research studies	RUB-E4.1
RUB Epidemiology 4.2: Population prevalence: Other sources	RUB-E4.2
RUB Epidemiology 4.3: Summary of population prevalence	RUB-E4.3
RUB Epidemiology 4.4: Sub-population prevalence variation	RUB-E4.4
RUB Interventions 1: Effect of rubella immunisation	RUB-Interv1
RUB Interventions 2: Effect of maternal rubella diagnosis and ToP on congenital rubella	RUB-Interv2
RUB Needs Assessment Calculator 1: Quantitative baseline	RUB-NA1
RUB Needs Assessment Calculator 3: Quantitative assessment of interventions	RUB-NA3

(There is no sheet RUB-NA2.)

Cape Verde Shared Data

Demographic, maternal health and socio-economic indicators

Please read first! If you have already completed a needs assessment for a different topic in this country, you will be able to copy the Demography information from that Calculator into here. The information should be the same.

By default, the Toolkit contains information at the national level.

If you would like to use a different population, then replace country information with that of your specific population of interest.

Number of persons by age-group and sex		Estimates		Yo	our estimat	es	Cho	sen estim	ates
Age group	Male	Male Female Total Male Female Total M				Male	Female	Total	
0-4 years	1977284	1881154	3858438			0			0
5-9 years	1761535	1684842	3446377			0			0
10-14 years	1825340	1741588	3566928			0			0
15-19 years	2012819	1898938	3911757			0			0
20-24 years	2213107	2096842	4309949			0			0
25-29 years	2168615	2081165	4249780			0			0
30-34 years	1959754	1931632	3891386			0			0
35-39 years	2084624	2117116	4201740			0			0
40-44 years	2293419	2338633	4632052			0			0
45-49 years	2250028	2316072	4566100			0			0
50-54 years	1964710	2016391	3981101			0			0
55-59 years	1758587	1819750	3578337			0			0
60-64 years	1840077	1923522	3763599			0			0
65+ years	133856	342203	476059			0			0
Total	26243755	26189848	62261967	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female population aged 15-44 years		12464326			-			-	
Data year		2010 report	ed in 2011						
Source, Year			UN 2011						

Ethnicity. Please enter data for the main ethnic groups if you are working with a population that is different from that of the country.

Ethnic group	Number	% population

Fertility and mortality	Estimate	Source, Year	Your estimate	Source, Year	Chosen estimate	Source, Year
Crude birth rate: live births (LB) / year / 1000 population	20.31	Unicef, 2013				
Still birth rate: still births (SB) / year / 1000 total births	15.81	WHO, 2009				
Total births in 1000s (LB+SB) per year	10	Unicef, 2013				
Infant mortality rate: infant deaths / 1000 LB / year	18.2	Unicef, 2013				
Under-5 mortality rate: U5 deaths / 1000 LB / year	21.3	Unicef, 2013				
Percentage births in women >35 years						
Life expectancy at birth (yrs)	74.16	Unicef, 2013				
% of marriages consanguineous						

	Estimate	Source, Year	Your	Source,	Chosen	Source,
Maternal health			estimate	Year	estimate	Year
Prenatal visits – at least 1 visit (%)	97.6	Unicef, 2013				
Prenatal visits – at least 4 visits (%)	72.3	Unicef, 2013				
Births attended by skilled health personnel (%)	77.5	Unicef, 2013				
Contraception prevalence rate (%)	61.3	Unicef, 2013				
Unmet need for family planning (%)	16.7	WHO, 2005				
Total fertility rate	2.34	Unicef, 2013				
% home births						
% births at health care services	75.60	Unicef, 2013				
Newborn health	Estimate	Source, Year	Your estimate	Source, Year	Chosen estimate	Source, Year
Number of neonatal examinations by SBA / trained staff						
% neonatal examinations by SBA/ trained staff						

			1	,	1	Source,
Socio-economic indicators	Estimate	Source, Year	estimate	Year	estimate	Year
Gross national income per capita (PPP int. \$)	4000	Unicef, 2013				
% population living on < US\$1 per day	20.6	Unicef, 2013				
Birth registration coverage (%)	91.4	WHO 2010				
Death registration coverage (%)						

LB = live births
PPP = purchasing power parity
SBA = skilled birth attendant

Cape Verde Shared Data Health Services Data

Please read first! If you have already completed a needs assessment for a different topic in this country, you will be able to copy the Health Services information from that Calculator into here. The information should be the same.

This section provides health-service-related information for your country.

By default, the Toolkit contains information at the national level.

If you would like to use a different population, then replace country information with that of your specific population of interest.

			Your		Chosen	
Health Expenditure	Estimate	Source, Year	estimate	Source, Year	estimate	Source, Year
Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int. \$)	171.7	WHO 2011				
Total expenditure on health as percentage of GDP	4.8	WHO 2011				
Per capita government expenditure on health (PPP int. \$)	128.9	WHO 2011				
External resources for health as percentage of total expenditure on health	18.3	WHO 2011				
General government expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health	75.1	WHO 2011				
Out-of-pocket expenditure as percentage of private expenditure on health	93.8	WHO 2011				
Private expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health	24.9	WHO 2011				
General government expenditure on health as percentage of total government expenditure	7.9	WHO 2011				

			Your		Chosen	
Health Workforce	Estimate	Source, Year	estimate	Source, Year	estimate	Source, Year
Number of nursing and midwifery personnel	714	WHO, 2008				
Nursing and midwifery personnel density (per 10,000 population)	13.2	WHO, 2008				
Number of physicians	310	WHO, 2008				
Physician density (per 10,000 population)	5.72	WHO, 2008				
Number of obstetricians						
Number of paediatricians						
Number of paediatric surgeons						
Number of paediatric cardiac surgeons						
Number of paediatric neurosurgeons						
Number of clinical geneticists						
Number of genetic counsellors						
Number of community health workers						
Number of skilled birth attendants (SBA)						
Density of SBA						
Number of lab staff providing cytogenetic testing						
Number of lab staff providing molecular genetics						
Number of lab staff providing biochemical tests for genetics						

Number of skilled health attendants				i
Number of skilled nealth attendants				į.

Infrastructure	Estimate	Source, Year	Your	Source, Year	Chosen	Source, Year
	LStilliate	Jource, Tear	estimate	Source, rear	estimate	Source, rear
Number of maternity units						
Number of services providing specialised care for people with CD						
Number of family planning services						
Number of preconception services						
Number of services providing prenatal care						
Number of services providing newborn care						
Number of facilities providing genetic services						
Number of laboratories providing cytogenetics						
Number of laboratories providing molecular genetics						
Number of laboratories providing biochemical tests for genetics						
Number of facillities for safe terminations of pregnancies for fetal defects						

PPP = purchasing power parity GDP = gross domestic product SBA = skilled birth attendant CD = congenital disorders Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
PLIR Epidemiology 1.1: Country of

RUB Epidemiology 1.1: Country epidemiology

Epidemiological indicator	Your estimates	Range	PHGDB minimum estimates	Chosen estimates	Range	Source
Year of estimate						
Prevalence at birth and by age-group (/	1000)					
Live birth prevalence (LB)						
Stillbirth prevalence (SB)						
Total birth prevalence (LB+SB)						
All age groups						
<1 year olds						
1-4 year olds						
5-14 year olds						
15-44 year olds						
45+ year olds						
Number of cases by age group						
Annual live births						
All age groups						
<1 year olds						
1-4 year olds						
5-14 year olds						
15-44 year olds						
45+ year olds						
% cases by level of impairment						
No or minor disability						
Moderate disability						
Severe disability						
Mortality and morbidity	<u> </u>					
Mean life expectancy (yrs)						
No. deaths < 1yr						
No. deaths 1-4 yrs						
No. deaths < 5 yrs						
Infant mortality / 1000 LB						
Under-5 mortality / 1000 LB						
Years of life lost						

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Epidemiology 1.2: International comparison

	Your chosen			
Epidemiological indicator	estimates	Country	Region	World
Prevalence at birth and by age-group (/100	00 people)		(Sub-Saharan Afri	ca, West)
Live birth prevalence (LB)				
Stillbirth prevalence (SB)				
Total birth prevalence (LB+SB)				
All age groups				
<1 year olds				
1-4 year olds				
5-14 year olds				
15-44 year olds				
45+ year olds				
Number of cases by age-group				
Annual live births				
All age groups				
<1 year olds				
1-4 year olds				
5-14 year olds				
15-44 year olds				
45+ year olds				
% cases by level of impairment				
No or minor disability				
Moderate disability				
Severe disability				
Mortality and morbidity				
Mean life expectancy (yrs)				
No. deaths < 1yr				
No. deaths 1-4 yrs				
No. deaths < 5 yrs				
Infant mortality / 1000 LB				
Under-5 mortality / 1000 LB				
Years of life lost				

Cape Verde Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 1.3: Country epidemiology for rubella

Epidemiological indicator	Your estimates	Range		Comparison	
			Country	Africa, West)	World
Rubella immunisation coverage (%)					
Reported number of rubella cases					
Reported number of congenital rubella syndrome cases					
Live birth prevalence (LB)					
Stillbirth prevalence (SB)					
Data year			2012		

Version 1.1, SEPTEMBER 2013

Cape Verde

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 2.1: Data on affected pregnancies: Research studies

Study author, year, site	Sample size	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings

Based on the studies listed above (or in section RUB-E2.1 of the Tool), enter the best estimates for the prevalence of affected births, stillbirths and terminations of pregnancy in the country, and a range of values to reflect uncertainty or within-country variation.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of affected live births	LB prevalence / 1000 TB	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of affected stillbirths	SB prevalence / 1000 TB	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of terminations of pregnancy due to condition		Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 2.2: Data on affected pregnancies: Surveillance

Based on surveillance data, enter the best estimates for the prevalence of the condition in live births, stillbirths and terminations of pregnancy. Give a range of values to reflect uncertainty and within-country variation, and use comments for information on data quality, uncertainty and representativeness.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of affected live births	Birth prevalence / 1000 TB	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of affected stillbirths	Stillbirth prevalence / 1000 TB	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Estimates for the total country/territory	Number of terminations of pregnancy due to condition	ToP / 1000 TB	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

TB = total births (live births + stillbirths); ToP = termination of pregnancy

Version 1.1, SEPTEMBER 2013

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 2.3: Data on affected pregnancies: Other sources

	Source 1:	Source 2:	Notes
Enter year and source of data – use last year with information available.			
Basic Numbers			
Number of affected live births / year, from data source			
Total number of live births / year, from data source			
Number of affected still births / year, from data source			
Total number of stillbirths / year, from data source			
Number of ToP for affected fetus / year from data source			
Total number of affected births / year (live and still)	0		Number of affected live births + Number of affected still
Total number of births / year, from data source	0	0	births Total number of live births + Total number of still births
Total number of ToP / year, from data source			
Total number of women aged 15-44			
Live birth prevalence: recorded and estimated			
Recorded live birth prevalence (affected recorded live births / 1000 recorded total births)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated completeness of recording: what proportion of true affected live births in your data source were recorded?			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated coverage of recorded live births (number of recorded live births / total live births in country or territory)			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated live birth prevalence (recorded prevalence / completeness)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated true number of affected live births in data source (number of recorded affected live births / completeness)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of affected live births in total population (number of affected live births from data source / (coverage x completeness))	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Stillbirth prevalence: recorded and estimated			
Recorded stillbirth prevalence (affected recorded still births / 1000 recorded total births)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated completeness of recording: what proportion of true affected stillbirths in your data source were recorded?			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated coverage of recorded stillbirths (number of recorded still births / total still births in country or territory)			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated stillbirth prevalence (recorded prevalence / completeness)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated true number of affected stillbirths in data source (number of recorded affected still births / completeness)	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of affected stillbirths in total population (number of affected still births from data source / (coverage x completeness))	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	

ToP prevalence: recorded and estimated			
Recorded ToP prevalence (ToP in affected fetuses / 1000 women aged 15-44)	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Estimated completeness of recording: what proportion of true affected pregnancy terminations in your data source were recorded?			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated coverage of recorded ToP (number of recorded ToP / total ToP in country or territory)			Range: 0 to 1
Estimated ToP prevalence (recorded prevalence / estimated completeness)	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Estimated true number of ToP in data source (number of recorded ToP / completeness)	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of ToP in total population (number of ToP from data source / (coverage x completeness))	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

Based on the sources above, enter the best prevalence estimates for your population, and a range of values to reflect uncertainty of estimates and within country variation.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

Estimates for the whole country/territory	Number of affected live births	LB prevalence / 1000 TB
Best estimate		
Lower estimate		
Higher estimate		
Estimates for the whole country/territory	Number of affected still births	SB prevalence / 1000 TB
Best estimate		
Lower estimate		
Higher estimate		
Estimates for the whole country/territory	Number of ToP due to condition	ToP /1000 TB
Best estimate		
Lower estimate		
Higher estimate		

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Epidemiology 2.4: Summary of affected pregnancies

Indicator	Your estimates	Range	PHGDB minimum estimates	Chosen estimates	Range	Source
Number of annual affected live births						
Annual birth prevalence / 1000 TB						
Number of annual affected still births						
Annual Stillbirth prevalence / 1000 TB						
Number of terminations of pregnancy in affected fetuses /year						
Affected ToP / 1000 women 15-44/ year						1

If there are specific sub-types of condition, you can repeat this exercise below. However, you should consider (a) whether sub-types would have different implications for advocacy, and (b) whether a sub-type might require a full, specific needs assessment.

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
PUB Enidemiology 2.5: Sub-population variation is

RUB Epidemiology 2.5: Sub-population variation in affected pregnancies

If the birth prevalence rates vary by population sub-group (e.g. geographically or by another factor), indicate any population groups with different prevalence estimates from the whole population and describe reasons for variation. If a group is substantially different from the general population, you may wish to conduct a needs assessment for that group alone.

Population sub-group	Number of affected live births	LB prevalence / 1000 TB	Reason for variation

Population sub-group	Number of affected stillbirths	SB prevalence / 1000 TB	Reason for variation
Population sub-group	Number of ToP in affected pregnancies	ToP prevalence / 1000 TB	Reason for variation

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 3.1: Mortality data: Research studies

Source, year, site	Sample size	Age group	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings

Based on the studies above, enter the best estimates for the specific mortality by age-group e.g. infant, under-5s, etc., as appropriate, and a range of values to reflect uncertainty of estimates and within-country variation.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

Mortality estimates	Number of deaths	Ratio (deaths / 1000 LB)	Comments
Neonatal group (<28 days)			
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Infant group (<1 year)			
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Under-5 group (<5 years)			
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			
Other age group:			
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

LB = live births

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 3.2: Mortality data: Vital registration data

Fill in the blank cells based on your vital registration data.	
Enter year and source of data	
Registered data	
Total registered live births	
Registered condition-specific neonatal deaths (first 28 days of life)	
Registered condition-specific infant deaths (first year of life)	
Registered condition-specific under-5 deaths (first 5 years of life)	
Registered condition-specific neonatal mortality ratio (condition-specific neonatal deaths /(Total registered live births/ 1000))	#DIV/0!
Registered condition-specific infant mortality ((condition-specific infant deaths /(Total registered live births/	#DIV/0!
Registered condition-specific under-5 mortality (condition-specific under-5 deaths / (Total registered live births/ 1000))	#DIV/0!

Adjustment for under-ascertainment of cause of death and sub-registration of deaths: Enter estimates in the highlighted cells. It is not always possible to adjust the estimates, in which case you may give the value '1', accepting that the estimates in these cases will usually be biased towards low values. (Or you may move to the next section.) It is assumed that under-ascertainment is stable across age-groups; if ascertainment varies by age-group, you could use separate estimates for each age group.

Estimated completeness of recording: what proportion of deaths in affected persons were registered as such?		Range: 0 to 1
Population coverage: what proportion of the total country/territory population is covered by the vital		Range: 0 to 1
Death ascertainment (population coverage x completeness)	0	
Estimated values for the total country/ territory population		
Estimated number of live births in total population (Total registered live births/population coverage)	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of neonatal deaths in total population (number of deaths registered in neonatal period / ascertainment)	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of infant deaths in total population (number of deaths registered in first year of life / ascertainment)	#DIV/0!	
Estimated number of under-5 deaths in total population (number of deaths registered in under-5s / ascertainment)	#DIV/0!	
Estimated neonatal mortality ratio (estimated neonatal deaths / 1000 live births)	#DIV/0!	1
Estimated infant mortality ratio (estimated infant deaths / 1000 live births)	#DIV/0!	1
Estimated under-5 mortality ratio (estimated under-5 deaths / 1000 live births)	#DIV/0!]

Cape Verde Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 3.3: Mortality data: Other sources

Source, year, site	Sample size	Data quality and representativeness	Main findings

Based on data from the sources above, enter estimates for the disease-specific deaths and mortality rates in your population.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

	Neonatal m	ortality	Infant mortality		Under-5 mortality	
Estimates for the total country/territory	Value	Ratio/1000 LB	Value	Ratio/1000 LB	Value	Ratio/1000 LB
Best estimate						
Lower estimate						
Higher estimate						

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Epidemiology 3.4: Summary mortality estimates

Indicator	Your estimates	Range	PHGDB minimum estimates	Chosen estimates	Range	Source
Year of data collection					-	
Number of annual deaths in affected persons						
Number of annual live births (in 1000s)						
Number of annual affected neonatal deaths						
Number of affected neonatal deaths / 1000 LB						
Number of annual affected infant deaths						
Number of affected infant deaths / 1000 LB						
Number of annual affected under-5 deaths						
Number of affected under-5 deaths / 1000 LB						
Mean life expectancy at birth in affected people						
Other indicators (e.g. survival following surgical procedure, etc)						

Cape Verde Congenital Rubella Syndrome RUB Epidemiology 3.5: Sub-population variation in mortality

Age group: neonatal Population sub-group	Cause-specific, group-specific neonatal mortality ratio / 1000 LB	Reason for variation

Age group: infant Population sub-group	Cause-specific, group-specific infant mortality ratio / 1000 LB	Reason for variation

Age group: under 5 Population sub-group	Cause-specific, group-specific under-5 mortality ratio / 1000 LB	Reason for variation

Age group:	Number of deaths in		Reason for variation	
Population sub-group	affected persons	mortality ratio / 1000 population		

Version 1.1, SEPTEMBER 2013

Cape Verde

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 4.1: Population prevalence: Research studies

Study, year, site	Sample size	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings

Based on the studies above, enter the best estimates for population prevalence, and a range of values to reflect uncertainty of estimates and within-country variation.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

	Prevalence / 1000 persons	Range	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

Cape Verde Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Epidemiology 4.2: Population prevalence: Other sources

Source, year, site	Data quality and representativeness	Main findings

Based on data from the sources above, enter estimates for the disease-specific deaths and mortality rates in your population.

If studies are not representative of the national population you may need to weight your data (see the Guide for explanation on weighting and help with the calculations).

	Prevalence / 1000 persons	Range	Comments
Best estimate			
Lower estimate			
Higher estimate			

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Epidemiology 4.3: Summary of population prevalence

Source of estimates	Estimated total population number of affected persons	Range	Estimated total population prevalence / 1000 persons	Range
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
PHGDB				
Chosen estimates				

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Epidemiology 4.4: Sub-population prevalence variation

Population sub-group	Number of affected people	Total number of people in population sub-group	Population prevalence per 1000 people	Reason for variation
			#DIV/0!	

If there are specific sub-types of condition, you can repeat this exercise (copy table and paste below). However, you should consider (a) whether sub-types would have different implications for advocacy, and (b) whether a sub-type might require a full, specific needs assessment.

Formula in column D: Number of affected people/ (Total number of people in population subgroup/1000)

Cape Verde

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Intervention 1: Effect of immunisation on rubella incidence in women

Baseline prevalence of rubella in women aged 15-44 per 1000		
Variables		
Coverage of rubella immunisation		Range: 0 to 1
		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of women of reproductive age receiving immunisatio	n	
Effectiveness of immunisation (proportion of cases prevented among those immunised)		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
% prevalence reduction due to immunisation ¹	0%	
Prevalence reduction due to immunisation, per 1000 women aged 15-44 ²	0.000	
Final prevalence of rubella in women aged 15-44 per 1000 ³	0.000	

TB = total births (live births + still births)

¹ (Coverage of immunisation X Proportion of women receiving immunisation) X Effectiveness of immunisation

²% prevalence reduction due to immunisation X Baseline prevalence of rubella in women

³ Baseline prevalence of rubella in women – Prevalence reduction due to immunisation

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Intervention 2: Effect of maternal rubella diagnosis and ToP on congenital rubella

	Range: 0 to 1
	Range: 0 to 1
0%	
0.000	
0.000	

PND = prenatal diagnosis

TB = total births (live births + still births)

ToP = termination of pregnancy

Cape Verde
Congenital Rubella Syndrome
RUB Needs Assessment 1: Quantitative baseline

Table RUB-NA1a Burden of Congenital Rubella Syndrome in pregnancy, at birth and at population level

	Chosen estimates			Notes
Indicator	Number (n)		Range of prevalence (/1000 TB)	
Annual affected live births (LB)	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E2.4
Annual affected stillbirths (SB)	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E2.4
Annual affected births (LB+SB)	0	0		Drawn from sheet E2.4
Annual affected persons (all age groups)	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E1.1

Table RUB-NA1b Congenital Rubella Syndrome mortality indicators

	Chosen estimates			Notes
Indicator	Number (n)	I .	Range of prevalence (/1000 TB)	
Annual overall mortality	0			Drawn from sheet E3.4
Annual neonatal mortality	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E3.4
Annual infant mortality	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E3.4
Annual under-5 mortality	0	0	0	Drawn from sheet E3.4
Mean life expectancy at birth among affected people	0		0	Drawn from sheet E3.4

TB = total births (live births + stillbirths)

Congenital Rubella Syndrome

RUB Needs Assessment 3: Quantitative assessment of interventions

Table RUB-NA3a	Estimated prevalence in the absence of interventions for Congenital Rubella Syndrome		
Indicator	Number (n) Prevalence (n/1000)		
Potential live births			
Potential still births			

Table RUB-NA3b	Current situation in	Current situation in relation to interventions before birth			
Intervention	Coverage (%) Cases averted (n) Cases averted/100				
Effect of family planning, education					
Effect of vaccination					
Effect of prenatal diagnosis					
Effect of termination of pregnancy					
Overall effect					

Table RUB-NA3c	Target situation in relation	on to interventions before birth	
Intervention	Coverage (%)	Cases averted (n)	Cases averted/1000 LB
Effect of family planning, education			
Effect of vaccination			
Effect of prenatal diagnosis			
Effect of termination of pregnancy			
Overall effect			

Table RUB-NA3d	Current situation in	Current situation in relation to interventions after birth		
Intervention	Coverage (%)	Cases managed (n)	Cases managed/1000 LB	
Effect of newborn diagnosis				
Effect of treatment				
Effect of social care and support				
Effect of rehabilitation				
Overall effect				

Table RUB-NA3e	Target situation in relation	n to interventions after birth	
Intervention	Coverage (%)	Cases managed (n)	Cases managed/1000 LB
Effect of newborn diagnosis			
Effect of surgical treatment			
Effect of social care and support			
Effect of rehabilitation			
Overall effect			

Table RUB-NA3f	Current and desired outcomes				
	Current situation		Target situation	Target situation	
Indicator	Annual number (n)	Incidence (n/1000)	Annual number (n)	Incidence (n/1000)	
Estimated affected pregnancies					
Live births (LB)		0	0		
Still births (SB)		0	0		
All births (LB+SB)		0	0		
Estimated population prevalence				·	
All age groups					
Estimated mortality	·	·		·	
Neonatal deaths		0	0		
Infant deaths		0	0		
Under-5 deaths		0	0		