

**PHG Needs Assessment Calculator****United States****Newborn screening**

Welcome to the PHG Health Needs Assessment Calculator for Newborn Screening. The contents of this file are listed below.

Full name of the sheet	Short name
Country demographic, maternal health and socioeconomic indicators	Demography
Country health-service indicators	HealthServices
Existing screening programmes for congenital disorders	NBS-NA1.1
Details of newborn screening programmes	NBS-NA1.2
Effect of newborn screening and treatment on congenital hypothyroidism	NBS-CHT
Effect of newborn screening and treatment on G6PD deficiency	NBS-G6PD
Effect of newborn screening and treatment on Rhesus haemolytic disease of newborn	NBS-RHD
Effect of newborn screening and management on sickle cell disease	NBS-SCD
Effect of newborn screening and management on thalassaemias	NBS-THAL
Effect of newborn screening and treatment on orofacial clefts	NBS-OFC
Effect of newborn screening and treatment on phenylketonuria	NBS-PKU
Effect of newborn screening and management on cystic fibrosis	NBS-CF

**United States****Shared Data****Demographic, maternal health and socio-economic indicators**

**Please read first! If you have already completed a needs assessment for a different topic in this country, you will be able to copy the Demography information from that Calculator into here. The information should be the same.**

**By default, the Toolkit contains information at the national level.**

**If you would like to use a different population, then replace country information with that of your specific population of interest.**

Number of persons by age-group and sex	Estimates			Your estimates			Chosen estimates		
Age group	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
0-4 years	10887008	10412648	21299656			0			0
5-9 years	10535900	10073734	20609634			0			0
10-14 years	10222522	9751042	19973564			0			0
15-19 years	11051289	10486548	21537837			0			0
20-24 years	11093552	10446007	21539559			0			0
25-29 years	11115560	10562159	21677719			0			0
30-34 years	10107974	9780629	19888603			0			0
35-39 years	10353016	10185335	20538351			0			0
40-44 years	10504139	10487466	20991605			0			0
45-49 years	11295524	11535568	22831092			0			0
50-54 years	10677847	11083544	21761391			0			0
55-59 years	9204666	9770360	18975026			0			0
60-64 years	7576933	8234990	15811923			0			0
65+ years	16823560	22747030	39570590			0			0
Total	0	0	###	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female population aged 15-44 years		0			0			0	
Data year	2009 reported in 2011								
Source, Year	UN 2011								

**Ethnicity. Please enter data for the main ethnic groups if you are working with a population that is different from that of the country.**

Ethnic group	Number	% population

<b>Fertility and mortality</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Crude birth rate: live births (LB) per year / 1000 population	14	Unicef, 2007				
Still birth rate (SB): Still births (SB) per year / 1000 total births	3	WHO, 2009				
Total births in 1000s (LB+SB) per year	4281.00	Unicef, 2007				
Infant mortality rate: infant deaths / 1000 LB / year	7.00	UNICEF				
Under-5 mortality rate: U5 deaths / 1000 LB / year	8.00	UNICEF (2011), 2010				
Percentage births in women >35 years		(2011), 2010				
Life expectancy at birth (yrs)	79	WHO, 2009				
% of marriages consanguineous						

<b>Maternal health</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Prenatal visits – at least 1 visit (%)						
Prenatal visits – at least 4 visits (%)						
Births attended by skilled health personnel (%)	99.4	WHO, 2006				
Contraception prevalence rate (%)	78.6	WHO, 2008				
Unmet need for family planning (%)	6.6	WHO, 2008				
Total fertility rate	2.1	WHO, 2009				
% home births						
% births at health care services						
<b>Newborn health</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Number of neonatal examinations by SBA / trained staff						
% neonatal examinations by SBA/ trained staff						

<b>Socio-economic indicators</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Gross national income per capita (PPP int. \$)	46790	WHO, 2008				
% population living on < US\$1 per day						
Birth registration coverage (%)	>90	WHO, 2007				
Death registration coverage (%)	90-100	WHO, 2007				

LB = live births

PPP = purchasing power parity

SBA = skilled birth attendant

**United States**  
**Shared Data**  
**Health Services Data**

**Please read first!** If you have already completed a needs assessment for a different topic in this country, you will be able to copy the Health Services information from that Calculator into here. The information should be the same.

This section provides health-service-related information for your country.

**By default, the Toolkit contains information at the national level.**

**If you would like to use a different population, then replace country information with that of your specific population of interest.**

<b>Health Expenditure</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int. \$)	7410	WHO, 2009				
Total expenditure on health as percentage of GDP	16.2	WHO, 2009				
Per capita government expenditure on health (PPP int. \$)	3602	WHO, 2009				
External resources for health as percentage of total expenditure on health	0	WHO, 2009				
General government expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health	48.6	WHO, 2009				
Out-of-pocket expenditure as percentage of private expenditure on health	24.2	WHO, 2009				
Private expenditure on health as percentage of total expenditure on health	51.4	WHO, 2009				
General government expenditure on health as percentage of total government expenditure	18.7	WHO, 2009				

<b>Health Workforce</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Number of nursing and midwifery personnel	2927000	WHO, 2005				
Nursing and midwifery personnel density (per 10,000 population)	98.2	WHO, 2005				
Number of physicians	793648	WHO, 2004				
Physician density (per 10 000 population)	26.72	WHO, 2004				
Number of obstetricians						
Number of paediatricians						
Number of paediatric surgeons						
Number of paediatric cardiac surgeons						
Number of paediatric neurosurgeons						
Number of clinical geneticists						
Number of genetic counsellors						
Number of community health workers						
Number of skilled birth attendants (SBA)						
Density of SBA						

Number of lab staff providing cytogenetic testing						
Number of lab staff providing molecular genetics						
Number of lab staff providing biochemical tests for genetics						
Number of skilled health attendants						

<b>Infrastructure</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Your estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>	<b>Chosen estimate</b>	<b>Source, Year</b>
Number of maternity units						
Number of services providing specialised care for people with CD						
Number of family planning services						
Number of preconception services						
Number of services providing prenatal care						
Number of services providing newborn care						
Number of facilities providing genetic services						
Number of laboratories providing cytogenetics						
Number of laboratories providing molecular genetics						
Number of laboratories providing biochemical tests for genetics						
Number of facilities for safe terminations of pregnancies for fetal defects						

PPP = purchasing power parity

GDP = gross domestic product

SBA = skilled birth attendant

CD = congenital disorders

**United States****Newborn screening****Existing screening programmes for congenital disorders**

Condition	Tick if NBS programme exists	Tick if included in physical examination	Indicate whether NBS is provided at national or sub-national level	Condition prevalence per 1000 newborns	Prevalence variation and high-risk populations
Eye problems					
Signs of heart disease					
Developmental dysplasia of hips					
Genital anomalies (e.g. undescended testicles)					
Orofacial clefts					
Dysmorphologies					
Hearing loss					
Congenital hypothyroidism					
G6PD deficiency					
PKU					
Cystic fibrosis					
Thalassaemias					
Sickle cell disease					
MCADD					
CAH					
Other					

NBS = newborn screening

G6PD = glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase

PKU = phenylketonuria

CAH= congenital adrenal hyperplasia

MCADD = medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency

**United States****Newborn screening****Details of newborn screening programmes**

Condition	Age at screen	Coverage (%)	Coverage variation and high-risk populations	Estimated proportion of Target affected newborns detected	Target coverage (%)
<b>Newborn physical examination</b>					
Basic examination*					
Examination for gross abnormalities*					
Detailed physical examination					
<b>Newborn hearing screening</b>					
Crude screening					
Equipment based screening					
<b>Newborn bloodspot screening</b>					
Congenital hypothyroidism					
PKU					
Cystic fibrosis					
Sickle cell disease					
G6PD deficiency					
MCADD					
CAH					
Other					

PKU = phenylketonuria

G6PD = glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase

MCADD = medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency

CAH= congenital adrenal hyperplasia

\* As defined in the Background document section titled Newborn Screening Tests

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and treatment on congenital hypothyroidism**

Baseline birth prevalence of CHT, per 1000 total births*		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients receiving diagnosis treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases of CHT through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of uncontrolled CHT after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 total births <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

CHT = congenital hypothyroidism

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases of CHT X Baseline birth prevalence)



**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and treatment on G6PD deficiency**

Baseline birth prevalence of G6PD deficiency, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients receiving treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of uncontrolled G6PD deficiency after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

NBS = newborn screening

G6PD = glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases of G6PD X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and treatment on RHD**

Baseline birth prevalence of RHD, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients receiving treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of uncontrolled RHD deficiency after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

NBS = newborn screening

RHD = Rhesus Haemolytic Disease of Newborn

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of uncontrolled cases of RHD X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and management on sickle cell disease**

Baseline birth prevalence of sickle cell disease, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients referred for management		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of management		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction in unmanaged cases of SCD through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of unmanaged sickle cell disease after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

SCD = sickle cell disease

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of unmanaged cases of SCD X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and management on thalassaemias**

Baseline birth prevalence of thalassaemias, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of screen-positive patients referred for treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of management		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of prevalence of unmanaged thalassaemias through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of unmanaged thalassaemias after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of unmanaged cases of thalassaemia X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and treatment on orofacial clefts**

Baseline birth prevalence of orofacial clefts, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of screen-positive patients receiving treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of prevalence of untreated OFCs through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of untreated OFCs after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

OFCs = orofacial clefts

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of untreated cases of OFC X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and treatment on phenylketonuria**

Baseline birth prevalence of PKU, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients receiving treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of treatment		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of prevalence of clinical cases of PKU through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of symptomatic PKU after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

PKU = phenylketonuria

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of screen-positive cases receiving treatment X Effectiveness of treatment

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of prevalence of clinical cases of PKU X Baseline birth prevalence)

**United States****Newborn screening****Effects of NBS and management on cystic fibrosis**

Baseline birth prevalence of cystic fibrosis, per 1000 LB		
Variables		
Coverage of newborn screening		Range: 0 to 1
Proportion of positive-screened patients referred for management		Range: 0 to 1
Effectiveness of management		Range: 0 to 1
Results		
Proportional reduction of prevalence of unmanaged cystic fibrosis through NBS and treatment <sup>1</sup>	0	
Prevalence of unmanaged cystic fibrosis after newborn screening and treatment, per 1000 LB <sup>2</sup>	0	

LB = live births

NBS = newborn screening

\* If you don't have data on birth prevalence but do have data on screening, you can estimate birth prevalence by combining the proportion screened positive with the number of total births. (This assumes that screening is randomly distributed in the population).

<sup>1</sup>Coverage of newborn screening X Proportion of positive-screened patients referred for management X Effectiveness of management

<sup>2</sup>Baseline birth prevalence – (Proportional reduction of prevalence of unmanaged cases X Baseline birth prevalence)