

# Tool for Assessing Health Needs

in relation to

# Neural Tube Defects

Part of the PHG Foundation Toolkit for Assessing Health Needs in relation to Congenital Disorders

Version 1.1, September 2013



### PHG Foundation Needs Assessment Tool for Neural Tube Defects

Country /Territory of interest for present needs assessment on Neural Tube Defects:

#### NTD-0 INTRODUCTION

Welcome to the PHG Foundation Congenital Disorders Needs Assessment Tool, for Neural Tube Defects (NTD). This Tool consists of seven sections, which are explained briefly in the Guide:

- the Country Profile
- the Epidemiology section
- the Interventions section
- the Needs Assessment section
- the Situation Assessment
- the Initial Prioritisation
- the Summary Report

The narrative and the written instructions are contained in the Tool, while numerical input and calculations are performed in the Calculator. The Tool and the Calculator should be used alongside one another. Where you need to put data into the Calculator, you will see an instruction.

#### **Decision points**

At certain points you will be prompted to decide whether it is necessary to continue with your present needs assessment. If the burden is low, for example, you may decide your efforts are better used on another topic, but this should be made explicit.

#### **Subpopulations**

If there is substantial variation in burden or service factors between population sub-groups, a whole-population needs assessment may not be appropriate. It may be necessary to conduct separate assessments for the individual groups, or to focus your assessment on a group that is particularly affected. At the minimum, you should ensure that substantially higher or lower rates in an identified population sub-group do not lead to inaccurate estimates for the whole population.



#### NTD-CP COUNTRY PROFILE

Demographic and health service factors are key determinants of the scale of congenital disorders and of the potential to provide care and prevention.

Note: If you or others conducting PHG needs assessments have already completed Demography and Health services sheets in another topic Calculator for this population, you can copy that data into the present Calculator.

Population definit	cion: Briefly describe the population if you are not using the whole country.
_	
	containing data relevant to the Country Profile are given in Appendix 1, d of this document.
NTD-CP1	Demography
disorders. Variab incidence of disorders	ctors in a population have important effects on the burden of congenital les such as maternal age and level of consanguineous marriage affect the rders, while variables such as birth rate and contraceptive prevalence affect mber of births. Other indicators are useful for understanding the general
	e Calculator named 'Demography' there are estimates of various important cators. You can add data from your own sources.
	>>> Go to Calculator sheet Demography
NTD-CP2	Health services
•	mmary description of the operation of health services and programmes in ritory. Describe their accessibility and coverage, and who is responsible for



genetic conditions.	
There are accord variables that will be	important to consider when according the natential
for change and when completing the	e important to consider when assessing the potential prioritisation process. Please now go to the Health hServices) and enter estimates of the health-service
	>>> Go to Calculator sheet HealthServices
Please summarise the country profile, Comment on areas for improvement in t	highlighting where the indicators are unsatisfactory. the indicators.

Please list relevant national health policies, legislation and guidelines in relation to the pre-



#### NTD-E EPIDEMIOLOGY

This section helps you to bring together epidemiological data related to Neural Tube Defects, to understand the burden in your setting. The Calculator sheets already contain modelled estimates from PHGDB; note that these estimates are for isolated NTDs only, i.e. those not associated with chromosomal anomalies or other structural malformations, as such they do not encompass the whole population of individuals with NTDs. You may add data from research studies, surveillance systems or other sources, assessed for representativeness and quality.

Decision point: You may skip this section if you do not know of further estimates or just prefer to use the PHGDB estimates. If your population is not the total population of your country or territory, the PHGDB estimates may not be accurate.

First, please complete some definitions as used in your country in Box NTD-E1.

#### Box NTD-E1: Definitions

Term	Enter definition here
Live birth	
Stillbirth	
Miscarriage	

## NTD-E1.1 Summary country epidemiology for Neural Tube Defects

This section summarises the epidemiology of Neural Tube Defects in your country. The aim is to record basic 'headline' data for the prevalence of Neural Tube Defects in your country/territory, and the resulting mortality. Estimates from the PHGDB are contained in the NTD-E1.1 Calculator sheet.

Once you have completed the epidemiology section, you will be asked to consider whether you need to update this section.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E1.1

#### NTD-E1.2 International comparative epidemiology

This section allows you to compare the situation in your population to a close neighbouring population. You will need to copy your chosen estimates from sheet NTD-E1.1 into the appropriate column here.

The Calculator sheet is pre-populated with data from a suggested appropriate comparison country, as well as estimates from your region and from the world. If you would prefer a different comparator, you can obtain PHGDB estimates for that country/territory from sheet NTD-E1.1 of the Calculator for that country/territory, which you can get from the Toolkit website.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E1.2

Note: The following sections NTD-E2 and NTD-E3 ask for data from research studies, surveillance activities and other sources. Less detailed estimates of prevalence and effect are given by the PHGDB, in case such data are not available for your population.



#### Data on affected pregnancies

In this section you will record data on live births and still births affected by Neural Tube Defects, and terminations of pregnancy due to Neural Tube Defects. If national or local estimates are not available, please move to section E2.4 which gives you estimates from PHGDB.

#### NTD-E2.1 Data on affected pregnancies: Research studies

Are national or local estimates for the prevalence of affected births available from research studies? If not, please move to section NTD-E2.2.

If research studies with information on birth prevalence of Neural Tube Defects in your population are available, please list these here, including an indication of their quality and findings.

Table NTD-E2.1	Research studies on pregnancies affected by Neural Tube Defects								
Study author, year, site	Sample size	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings						

										information	they	provide	on	the	birth
F	orevalenc	e tor	your c	ouni	try an	a sub	-grou	ips of th	e po	opulation.					

Now copy these studies into the Calculator sheet NTD-E2.1 and complete that sheet.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-2.1

#### NTD-E2.2 Data on affected pregnancies: Surveillance data

Are data on pregnancies affected by Neural Tube Defects available from surveillance systems, for your population? If surveillance data are available, please fill in the table in Calculator sheet NTD-E2.2. If not, please move on to section NTD-E2.3.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E2.2



#### NTD-E2.3 Data on affected pregnancies: Other sources

Are there other sources of data with information on affected live births, stillbirths or terminations of pregnancy? Sources of such data might include hospitals, primary care, or surveys or estimates by charities with specific interest in the condition. If you do not have data from other sources, please continue to section NTD-E2.4.

If yes, it is important to consider the completeness, quality and representativeness of the data. In Calculator sheet NTD-E2.3 you will enter basic numbers of affected live births, stillbirths and terminations of pregnancy recorded from your data source. You will also estimate numbers for the whole country/territory, based on an assessment of how complete the source data is, and how much of the country/territory it covers. You will finally select the best estimates based on these data sources.

If the burden in the population covered by these data sources is different from the burden in the total population of your country/territory, you can weight your data. For a brief description of weighting, please see the Weighting section in the Guide.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E2.3

#### NTD-E2.4 Summary of affected pregnancies

You may now combine estimates from research, surveillance and other health sector data and compare them to data from the PHGDB. Then you may choose your final estimates.

Please ensure you enter a chosen estimate in the appropriate column as these will be used later.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E2.4

#### NTD-E2.5 Sub-population variation in affected pregnancies

If the birth prevalence rates vary by population sub-group (e.g. geographically or according to another factor), please indicate any population groups with different prevalence estimates from the whole population and describe reasons for variation. If a group is substantially different from the general population, you may wish to conduct a needs assessment for that group alone.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E2.5

### NTD-E3 Mortality data

Completing this section will help to show what the burden of mortality from Neural Tube Defects is in your population. If national or local estimates are not available, please move to section E3.4 which gives you estimates from PHGDB.

NTD-E3.1 Mortality data: Research studies

Are national or local condition-specific mortality estimates available from research studies? If not, please continue to section NTD-E3.2. If study estimates are available, please complete the table below on studies (including unpublished) providing mortality information in the country. Be aware of the need to differentiate between age groups when considering mortality – the Calculator sheet asks for mortality estimates for different age groups.



 Table NTD-E3.1
 Research studies on mortality due to Neural Tube Defects

Source, year, site	Sample size	Age groups covered	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings

Comment on existing studies and on the quality of information they provide on mortality in									
the country and population sub-groups.									

Now, copy the table of studies into Calculator sheet NTD-E3.1 and enter the best estimates for condition-specific mortality by age-group (infant, under-5, etc.).

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E3.1

#### NTD-E3.2 Mortality data: Vital registration data

If there are national or local vital registration mortality statistics with information on Neural Tube Defects, you can use sheet NTD-E3.2 of the Calculator to record numbers and rates of affected deaths. If there are no vital registration statistics, please continue to section NTD-E3.3.

It is important to consider sub-registration of deaths and under-ascertainment of specific causes of death. The tables in Calculator sheet NTD-E3.2 allow you first to enter registered deaths for various age groups, and second to estimate numbers and ratios of deaths for the whole country/territory, based on an assessment of how complete the vital registration data is, and how much of the country/territory it covers.

If the burden in the population covered by your vital registration data is different from the burden in the total population of your country/territory, you can weight your data. For a brief description of weighting, please see the Weighting section in the Guide.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E3.2



#### NTD-E3.3 Mortality data: Other sources

If other sources have information on mortality due to the condition, please enter those sources into this table. If not available move to section NTD-E3.4.

**Table NTD-E3.3** Other sources of data on mortality due to Neural Tube Defects

Source, year, site	Sample size	Age groups covered	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings

Now copy these sources into the Calculator sheet NTD-E3.3 and complete the quantitative estimates in that sheet.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E3.3

#### NTD-E3.4 Summary mortality estimates

Now, please combine estimates from research, surveillance and other health sector data and compare them to data from the PHGDB. Then choose the best estimates.

Please ensure you enter a chosen estimate, in the appropriate column as these will be used in later.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E3.4

#### NTD-E3.5 Sub-population variation in mortality

Does condition-specific mortality vary between identifiable sub-groups in your population (e.g. geographically or according to other factors)? If not, continue to section NTD-E4.

If the mortality does vary by population sub-group, indicate any population sub-groups with different mortality estimates from the whole population and describe reasons for variation.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E3.5

### NTD-E4 Population prevalence

This section should make clear what the prevalence of Neural Tube Defects is in your population. Are national or local estimates available for the population prevalence of affected persons? If not, please move to section NTD-E4.3.

#### NTD-E4.1 Population prevalence: Research studies

Are there research studies (including unpublished) providing prevalence estimates of Neural Tube Defects in your population? If so, please enter them in Table NTD-E4.1. If not, please move to section NTD-E4.2.

**Table NTD-E4.1** Research studies on population prevalence of Neural Tube Defects

Source, year, site	Sample size	Study quality and representativeness	Main findings and comments



to Calculator sheet Neural Tube Defect	NTD-E4.1 ts in your p n-country va	and enter the best estimopulation. Give a range ariation. If studies are not a	eneral population prevalence. Moverates for the overall prevalence of values to reflect uncertainty representative of the whole count	of of
		>>> Go to Ca	alculator sheet NTD-E4.1	
Tube Defects in you care, or surveys or	r country/te estimates by	rritory? Sources of such day charities with specific in emove to section NTD-E		ry
Table NTD-E4.2	Other so Defects	urces of data on popul	ation prevalence of Neural Tub	Э
Table NTD-E4.2 Source, year, site	Defects Sample	Study quality and	ation prevalence of Neural Tub  Main findings	ре
	Defects		·	ре
	Defects Sample	Study quality and	·	oe
	Defects Sample	Study quality and	·	oe    -



Use data from the sources above to generate an estimate of the general population prevalence. Move to Calculator sheet NTD-E4.2 and enter the best estimates for the overall prevalence of Neural Tube Defects in your population. Give a range of values to reflect uncertainty of estimates and within-country variation.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E4.2

#### NTD-E4.3 Population prevalence summary

Now, please combine estimates from research and other sources and compare them to data from the PHGDB. Then choose the best estimates.

Please ensure you enter a chosen estimate, in the appropriate column as these will be used in later.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E4.3

#### NTD-E4.4 Sub-population prevalence variation

Does the population prevalence vary across the country/territory?

If the prevalence varies by population sub-group (e.g. geographically or according to other factors), indicate any population sub-groups with different prevalence estimates from the whole population and describe reasons for variation.

First, describe re	asons for choice of population sub-groups:
Now go to the C	alculator and enter data on prevalence variation by population sub-group.
rion, go to the O	>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-E4.4
NTD-E4.5	Population prevalence: Data needs
Please list below these data could	any needs for further data from research, and outline whether and how be obtained.



	•		further ine how			services ed.	data	(or

Note: You may now want to revisit the national and comparative epidemiology profiles completed previously (in sheets NTD-E1.1 and 1.2 of the Calculator).



# NTD-INTERV FOLIC ACID AND PRENATAL SCREENING AND TOP

#### NTD-Interv1 Folic acid effects: Fortification

Fortification of common foodstuffs with folic acid can raise the folate levels of the entire population. In the related Calculator sheet you can:

- estimate the effect of fortification in your population, and
- discover the potential effect of hypothetical fortification regimes.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-Interv1

#### NTD-Interv2 Folic acid effects: supplementation

In addition to the population effect of fortification with folic acid, specific supplementation in the form of folic acid pills can be given to pregnant women to improve folate levels.

When fortification is already in place in the population, the extra effect of supplementation is less than the effect of supplementation alone: in this Toolkit, the effect of supplementation in the presence of fortification is assumed to be 10% of the effect of supplementation alone. This assumption can be varied in Calculator sheet NTD-Interv2.

In the related Calculator sheet you can:

- · estimate the effect of folic acid supplementation alone, and
- estimate the effect of supplementation in the presence of fortification.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-Interv2

## NTD- Interv3 Effects of prenatal screening and pregnancy termination

Prenatal screening (PNS) for NTDs and pregnancy termination can reduce the birth-incidence of NTDs. This intervention is possible with or without folic acid interventions. Calculator sheet NTD-Interv3 allows you to see the potential effect of PNS.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-Interv3

# NTD- Interv4 Combined effects of folic acid interventions and prenatal screening

Folic acid fortification, folic acid supplementation and prenatal screening and termination all have effects on the live birth incidence of Neural Tube Defects. These are not independent ofcourse; the effect of supplementation for pregnant women is less in a population where there is already general food fortification. Likewise, reductions in the prenatal incidence of NTDs mean prenatal screening and termination has a smaller absolute effect.

Calculator sheet NTD-Interv4 brings together the effects of folic acid fortification and supplementation, with prenatal screening and termination, in reducing the birth incidence of Neural Tube Defects. The numbers are based on the previous sheets, NTD-Interv2 and NTD-Interv3.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-Interv4



#### NTD-NA NEEDS ASSESSMENT SECTION

#### NTD-NA1 Epidemiology

#### NTD-NA1.1 The size of the problem

In the epidemiology section above, you chose estimates for the burden of Neural Tube Defects and for mortality indicators. The Calculator sheet NTD-NA1 contains tables recording the chosen estimates from the Calculator sheets NTD-E2.4 and NTD-E3.4. You may create similar tables for specific sub-groups of the condition as appropriate.

>>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-NA1

# NTD-NA1.2 Preliminary assessment of public health significance Based on the epidemiology and your experience, how do you rate this as a public health problem in the country or territory in relation to all causes of congenital disorders?

Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Do not know
Explain your cl	noice.				

Decision point: If you do not consider this to be a significant public health problem and no specific policies and interventions are required as a priority, you may stop here and move to another topic. Otherwise, continue with section NTD-NA2.



# NTD-NA2 Assessment of epidemiology, policies, services and information

NTD-NA2.1	Desired situation
First outline the desi	red situation ('where do we want to be?') in relation to the epidemiology,
care and prevention	of the condition.
Epidemiology (outco	mes indicators, e.g. for prevalence and mortality)
_preserved (control	,
	nmes would be optimal for delivering effective care and prevention in
your country or region	n.
	entions that may be delivered, such as prenatal screening, diagnosis,
counselling and inte	rventions aimed at risk factors.



Information availability (e.g. registries, vital statistics, health service indicators)	
Desired outputs resulting from action (e.g. availability, coverage and quality of poli-	cies,
interventions and services)	
NTD-NA2.2 Current situation and gaps	
Now please assess the current situation and unmet needs ('where are we now?'), indicate	ating
potential areas for action.	
NTD-NA2.2.1 Policy and programmes	
NTD-NA2.2.1 Policy and programmes  Briefly list any policies or programmes for the care and prevention of Neural Tube Defection	ts in
your country or territory, and who is responsible for them (e.g. Institution, Ministr	
Department).	
Core	
Care	



Prevention	
And there were an incolorate to the Color	and the state of t
Are there gaps or inadequacies in policies	s or programmes and in their implementation? Give
details.	
	0: 1.4.7
If yes, are there plans to address them?	Jive details.



What can be done to tackle unmet needs?
NTD-NA2.2.2 Services and interventions
List services and interventions for prevention and care, and comment on their quality and
who provides them.
Prevention before pregnancy, both directed to high risk groups and population wide (e.g.
folic acid supplementation and fortification programmes)
Prevention during pregnancy (e.g. prenatal screening and diagnosis)
revention during programby (e.g. promatar corooning and diagnosis)



Care and prevention after birth (e.g. surgery, treatment of complications, rehabilitation)	
Are the above services and interventions well integrated with other health services	(e.g.
maternal and child health services)?	
How do these target people at different life stages (i.e. before and during pregnancy after birth)?	and
	1



Are there appropriate and integrated pathways for prevention and care (e.g. communications
between health service staff, and referral mechanisms)?
How are services and interventions monitored and evaluated?
Are services and interventions satisfactorily delivered?



Are services and interventions delivered across the country or territory equitably, according to the needs of different populations?
Are there any gaps or inadequacies in the delivery of services and interventions? If yes, what are the main reasons (e.g. lack of priority, planning, financial resources, facilities and
equipment, trained personnel, managerial deficiencies)?
If there are gaps, are there plans to address them? Give details.



							impleme	entation	of new	services	or
inter	entions? L	ist what	could be	e done a	and how.	•					
NTD	-NA2.2.3		Inf	ormati	ion nee	ds					
		e quality					and rese	arch da	ta that a	re relevan	t to
	ondition.										
Is the	ere a need	for chang	ges in su	urveillan	ice syste	ems fo	r the con	dition?			
1											- 1



				information		how	much	does	the	absence	of	appropriate
Sl	ırveilla	ance	data im	pede action?								
W	hat ca	n be	done?									
le	there :	2 nec	ad for ne	w research ir	n relation	to th	e condit	tion?				
	ti ici c	a nec	20 101 110	w research ii	Ticiatioi	1 10 111	c condi					



it there are unmet data impede actio	: information	needs, no	w much do	oes the ab	sence of a	appropriate	researcn
data impede actio	11:						
What can be done	?						
Summary of the m	nain gaps or i	unmet nee	ds				



Use Table NTD-NA2 to enter the level of met **needs** for policies and programmes, services and interventions, considering key life stages as appropriate. Use a numerical code system from 1 to 5, to reflect (1) mostly unmet (high need) to (5) mostly met (low need), with '3' representing an intermediate level of met needs. Therefore '1' represents an unsatisfactory situation, and '5' represents an optimum situation. You may use the action areas listed below, and add items as you find appropriate.

**Table NTD-NA2** Summary of levels of health needs in relation to Neural Tube Defects

Action areas	Policies/	Servic	Overall		
71011011 41 040	Programmes	00.710	Overan		
		Before During		After Birth	-
		Pregnancy	Pregnancy		
Public health education					
Food fortification		n/a	n/a	n/a	
Folic acid supplementation				n/a	
Prenatal screening		n/a		n/a	
Prenatal diagnosis		n/a		n/a	
Termination of pregnancy		n/a		n/a	
Newborn screening		n/a	n/a		
Newborn diagnosis		n/a	n/a		
Primary care diagnosis		n/a	n/a		
Surgical care		n/a	n/a		
Acute clinical care					
Long term clinical care					
Social care					
Disability support					
Support group involvement					
Surveillance					
Research					
Professional education					
Workforce training					

Use the space below to summarise the main gaps or unmet needs identified.



#### NTD-NA3 Assessment of intervention options

In this section you will consider what effect different interventions can have on the burden of Neural Tube Defects in your population.

#### NTD-NA3.1 Quantitative assessment of interventions

Here, you should fill in data relating to the prevalence of Neural Tube Defects in different intervention scenarios: in the absence of interventions, in the current situation, and in the desired situation.

Table NTD-NA3a in Calculator sheet NTD-NA3 relates to 'potential cases' – expected numbers of cases and rates in the absence of any interventions (if coverage = zero).

Please note: there is no Calculator sheet NA2. This is deliberate! >>> Go to Calculator sheet NTD-NA3

Tables NTD-NA3b to NA3e in Calculator sheet NTD-NA3 refer to the current and target (desirable) situations for interventions before and after birth. Please enter data in these tables. You may add or delete rows as appropriate.

>>> Return to Calculator sheet NTD-NA3

Table NTD-NA3f in Calculator sheet NTD-NA3 refers to outcomes. Figures for 'current situation' come from Tables NTD-NA1a and NA1b.

>>> Return to Calculator sheet NTD-NA3

#### NTD-NA3.2 Qualitative assessment of interventions

Now consider existing interventions and their coverage, effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and current impact. Use numbers between '1' (very low) and '5' (very high) to complete Table NTD-NA3.2a. Please enter or delete rows as appropriate.

 Table NTD-NA3.2a
 Qualitative assessment of the impact of current interventions

Interventions	Coverage	Effectiveness	Cost- effectiveness	Impact
Before pregnancy				
Family planning, education				
Folic acid fortification				
Folic acid supplementation				
During pregnancy				
Prenatal screening				
Prenatal diagnosis				
Termination of pregnancy				
After birth				
Newborn screening				
Newborn diagnosis				
Treatment services				
Care services (e.g. social support)				

Now consider the potential for the implementation of new interventions or changes to existing ones, in relation to their achievable coverage, effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and potential impact. Use numbers between '1' (very low) and '5' (very high) to complete Table NTD-NA3.2b. Please enter or delete rows as appropriate.



 Table NTD-NA3.2b
 Qualitative assessment of the expected impact of interventions



#### NTD-NA4 Situation assessment

Now consider your environment and describe the **S**trengths, **W**eaknesses, **O**pportunities and **T**hreats in relation to policies and services, using the SWOT diagram in Table NTD-NA4.

Table NTD-NA4SWOT diagram

Internal environment	External environment	
Strengths	Opportunities	+
		-
Weakness	Threats	
		-



### NTD-NA5 Initial prioritisation: Comparing interventions

#### The prioritisation team and processes

List the people and organisations invited to and involved in the initial prioritisation process in Table NTD-NA5a, and briefly explain how they were chosen.

Table NTD-NA5a The p	rioritisation team	
Person invited	Organisation represented	Accepted (Y/N)
Brief explanation of choice of	of participants and give any other comme	ents:
Now consider in the group	very arrayad wiles ariaritication aritari	a and action areas and
	your ground rules, prioritisation criterion to NTD-NA5d. Start with the ground rule	
complete rables 141 b 14/10b	to 1110 14 tod. Start with the ground raid	00.
Table NTD-NA5b Groun	nd rules for the prioritisation process (add	d rows if needed)



Now list in Table NTD-NA5c the prioritisation criteria you will use. You may wish to add weights to each criterion (from '0' to '1') in the table.

Table NTD-NA5c	List of criteria for prioritisation of interventions (add rows if needed)
Based on your asseareas for considerat	essment of needs, please consider and list in Table NTD-NA5d action ion.
Table NTD-NA5d	List of action areas for consideration (add rows if needed)

Based on the criteria selected, compare the action areas and rank them from the highest to the lowest priority. You may enter the results directly, following your discussions. You may find it helpful to use decision analysis software, so as to do it in a more systematic way (see the Prioritisation document). Show your results in Table NTD-NA5e, by entering the selected 'Action Areas' from the highest priority (1) onwards, using as many rows as appropriate. Tick the last column for all action areas that you consider are of sufficient priority to carry over to the later stages of the prioritisation process. This will enable these results to be compared with those for other conditions or topics at a later stage.

 Table NTD-NA5e
 List of priority action areas and interventions for Neural Tube Defects

Priority 1. highest	Action Area	Carry over
1. highest		
2.		
3.		
4		
5		

You have completed this Section! Now please proceed to the Summary Report.



#### NTD-NA6 Summary report

It is now time to bring together the main findings of your needs assessment into a summary report. This will present in one place the basic information about the burden of Neural Tube Defects, the present state of interventions and the potential to improve care and reduce incidence.

Briefly describe the population and condition.
Briefly describe the epidemiology of the condition, including within-country and international
comparisons, and highlighting any high risk groups <sup>1</sup> .
List the main services and interventions currently available for the care and prevention of the condition <sup>2</sup> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Possible reference sections: E1.1, NA1.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> You may wish to include an assessment of the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, coverage, quality and level of satisfaction with the interventions or services. Possible reference section: NA3.1, NA3.2.



Are there any threats to the continuation of services? <sup>3</sup>
What are the unmet needs as assessed by the Toolkit? <sup>4</sup>
Is it feasible to meet the identified needs? <sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For example, in relation to resources, acceptability, and competing priorities. Possible reference section: NA2.2.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> You may wish to consider the following questions: i) What are the main needs? ii) Is appropriate information available? iii) Are appropriate legislation, policies and programmes in place? iv) Are appropriate services and interventions in place? v) Are prevalence rates (both at birth and population level) as low as they can be? vi) Is prevention before pregnancy being delivered effectively, cost-effectively and according to need? vii) Is prevention during pregnancy being delivered effectively, cost-effectively and according to need? viii) Is prevention and care after birth being delivered effectively, cost-effectively and according to need? ix) Are prevention and care activities being delivered fairly (equitably)? Possible reference sections: NA2 and NA3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> You may wish to consider strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. Possible reference section: NA4.



Vhat actions may be required to respond to the unmet needs <sup>6</sup> ?
Describe how the prioritisation has been done and the main findings
ist the planned activities and how they will be evaluated.
The state of the s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Possible reference section: NA5e



List the proposed next steps.



# APPENDIX 1 – SOURCES OF DATA FOR THE COUNTRY PROFILE

For demographic, socio-economic and other indicators for your country or world regions, you may use the links below or other sources available to you.

- 1. Health Indicators Database. Pan American Health Org (PAHO) <a href="http://ais.paho.org/phip/viz/basicindicatorbrowaser.asp">http://ais.paho.org/phip/viz/basicindicatorbrowaser.asp</a>
- 2. WHO Statistical Information System (WHOSIS) http://www.who.int/whosis/whostat/2010/en/index.html
- 3. Indicator Definitions (WHO) http://www.who.int/whosis/indicators/en
- 4. UNICEF Country Statistics
  http://www.unicef.org/statistics/index\_countrystats.html
- 5. UN Demographic Yearbook (UNDY) series <a href="http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/dyb/dyb2.htm">http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/dyb/dyb2.htm</a>
- 6. UNICEF reports on The State of the World's Children http://www.unicef.org/sowc08/statistics/statistics.php
- 7. WHO data and statistics (various links) http://www.who.int/research/en/
- 8. Global health Observatory (GHO) <a href="http://www.who.int/gho/en/index.html">http://www.who.int/gho/en/index.html</a>
- 9. Countdown to 2015 (profiles for selected countries) http://www.childinfo.org/countdown\_638.htm
- 10. Health of Nations <a href="http://www.healthofnations.com/countries/map/outcomes/life">http://www.healthofnations.com/countries/map/outcomes/life</a>
- 11. Information on consanguinity <a href="http://www.consang.net/index.php/Global\_prevalence\_tables">http://www.consang.net/index.php/Global\_prevalence\_tables</a>
- 12. Information on immunisation <a href="http://apps.who.int/immunization\_monitoring/en/globalsummary/countryprofileresult.cfm">http://apps.who.int/immunization\_monitoring/en/globalsummary/countryprofileresult.cfm</a>
- 13. UN Statistics Division <a href="http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/health.htm">http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/health.htm</a>

Please list your own sources of data below

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.